

STATES and District of Columbia		State Coverage for Foods and Formula	Provision of Follow- up post Diagnosis	State Sub- specialty Care	Insurance Discrimination: HIPPA Enforcement
1.	Alaska	Formula only	Metabolic genetics clinic. No formal tracking for assurance	Metabolic geneticist	HIPPA enforced by Division of Insurance
2.	Arkansas	For both foods and formula post \$2,400 cost/year	Newborn Screening Registry. Long-term (5 years) and short-term follow-up. In-state facility	Metabolic geneticist(s); in-state	Has state law similar to HIPPA. HIPPA enforced by Division of Insurance
3.	California	For both foods and formula post \$?? cost/year	Metabolic Centers; in- state facilities. No formal tracking for assurance	Metabolic geneticist(s); in-state	Has state law similar to HIPPA. HIPPA enforced by Division of Insurance
4.	Connecticut	For both foods and formula	Metabolic Centers; in- state facilities. No formal tracking for assurance	Metabolic geneticist(s); in-state	
5.	Delaware	No mandate; State agency has formula fund	Refer to metabolic centers in other states. No formal tracking for assurance	Refer to metabolic geneticist(s); in other states	Has state law similar to HIPPA. HIPPA enforced by Division of Insurance
6.	Florida	Formula only	Metabolic Centers; in- state facilities. No formal tracking for assurance	Metabolic geneticist(s); in-state	No
7.	Georgia	No mandate for either	Metabolic Centers; in- state facilities. No formal tracking for assurance	Metabolic geneticist(s); in-state	No
8.	Hawaii	Yes, up to 80% of the costs for both food and formula	Metabolic Centers; in- state facilities. No formal tracking for assurance	Metabolic geneticist(s); in-state	Has state law similar to HIPPA. HIPPA enforced by Division of Insurance
9.	Illinois	For formula only	Metabolic Centers; in- state facilities. No formal tracking for assurance	Metabolic geneticist(s); in-state	No
10.	Iowa	No	Metabolic Centers; in- state facilities. No formal tracking for assurance	Metabolic geneticist(s); in-state	HIPPA enforced by Division of Insurance
11.	Kentucky	Yes, but there is a cap of \$4,000	Metabolic Centers; in- state facilities. No formal tracking for assurance	Metabolic geneticist(s); in-state	
12.	Louisiana	No	Metabolic Centers; in- state facilities. No formal tracking for assurance	Metabolic geneticist(s); in-state	Has state law similar to HIPPA.
13.	Maine	Yes, food and formula	Metabolic Centers; in- state facilities. No formal tracking for assurance	Metabolic geneticist(s); in-state	HIPPA enforced by Bureau of Insurance
14.	Maryland	Yes, if MCO or HMO or other non-ERISA plan	Metabolic Centers; in- state facilities. No formal tracking for assurance	Metabolic geneticist(s); in-state	Has state law similar to HIPPA.

15.	Michigan	No	Metabolic Centers; in-state facilities. No formal tracking for assurance	Metabolic geneticist(s); in-state	
16.	Minnesota	Yes, food and formula	Metabolic Centers; in-state facilities. Includes newborn screening registry	Metabolic geneticist(s); in-state	HIPPA enforced by Office of Health Policy and Systems Compliance
17.	Missouri	Formula only	Initial referral services only. No formal tracking for assurance	Metabolic geneticist(s); in-state	HIPPA enforced by Bureau of Insurance
18.	Montana	Yes, food and formula	Refer to metabolic centers in other states. No formal tracking for assurance	Refer to metabolic geneticist(s); in other states	HIPPA enforced by Bureau of Insurance
19.	Nebraska	No	Initial referral services only. No formal tracking for assurance	Metabolic geneticist(s); in-state	HIPPA enforced by Bureau of Insurance
20.	Nevada	Yes, food (up to \$2,500/year) and formula	Refer to metabolic centers in other states. Formal tracking for assurance.	Refer to metabolic geneticist(s); in other states	Has state law similar to HIPPA.
21.	New Jersey	Yes, food and formula	Initial referral services only. No formal tracking for assurance	Metabolic geneticist(s); in-state	Has state law similar to HIPPA.
22.	New York	Yes, food and formula	Metabolic Centers; in-state facilities. No formal tracking for assurance	Metabolic geneticist(s); in-state	Has state law similar to HIPPA.
23.	North Dakota	Formula only	Metabolic Centers; in-state facilities. No formal tracking for assurance	Metabolic geneticist(s); in-state	HIPPA enforced by Bureau of Insurance
24.	Oklahoma	No	Metabolic Centers; in-state facilities. No formal tracking for assurance	Metabolic geneticist(s); in-state	No
25.	Oregon	Yes, food and formula	Metabolic Centers; in-state facilities. No formal tracking for assurance	Metabolic geneticist(s); in-state	HIPPA enforced by Bureau of Insurance
26.	Rhode Island	Yes, food and formula	Refer to metabolic centers; in-state. Formal tracking for assurance.	Refer to metabolic geneticist(s); in-state	No
27.	South Carolina	No	Refer to metabolic centers; in-state. Formal tracking for assurance.	Refer to metabolic geneticist(s); in-state	No
28.	Tennessee	Yes, food and formula	Metabolic Centers; in-state facilities. No formal tracking for assurance	Metabolic geneticist(s); in-state	HIPPA enforced by Department of Insurance and Commerce
29.	Texas	Formula only if in MCO or HMO or other non-ERISA plan	Metabolic Centers; in-state facilities. No formal tracking for assurance	Metabolic geneticist(s); in-state	
30.	Washington	Yes, food and formula	Metabolic Centers; in-state facilities. No formal tracking for assurance	Metabolic geneticist(s); in-state	Has state law similar to HIPPA.

31.	District of Columbia	Not applicable	Metabolic Centers; in-state facilities. No formal tracking for assurance	Metabolic geneticist(s); in-state	Not applicable
-----	----------------------	----------------	--	-----------------------------------	----------------